PUBLIC COMMENTS (PC)-W

PC-W1 PC-W2

From: melanie and ernie [mwallner@charter.net]
Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2013 1:42 PM
To: Parsons, 405. Supplemental. Draft. EIR. EIS
Subject: Re: 1-405 Supplemental Documents

Please do whatever you can to mitigate the impact on the Long Beach area. We have already suffered through many, many months of extra traffic and freeway closures due to the 22/405 freeway upgrades. We are very concerned that the lane additions in Orange County on the 405 freeway will negatively affect us for many more years.

Thank you for your consideration.

Melanie and Ernie Wallner 221 Covina Ave. Long Beach, CA 90803 unate #1 is the only

PC-W3

From:

J L [jlaw144@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Friday, August 09, 2013 3:12 PM Parsons, 405.Supplemental.Draft,EIR.EIS

Subject: Soundwall CPE

To Whom It May Concern,

Our family is totally opposed to moving the 22/405 soundwall any further into the College Park East tract of Seal Beach. We feel it would be intrusive and would have a devastating effect on the value of our home.

Thank you, David Kahn and Nancy Weintraub 3570 Violet Street Seal Beach, CA 562-981-4321

PC-W4

From: Sent: Sara Wescott [swescott@ggusd.us] Friday, August 09, 2013 4:37 PM Parsons, 405.Supplemental.Draft.EIR.EIS

Subject: Re: I-405 Supplemental Documents

Hello,

I am very concerned about the impact of this project on the city of Long Beach. As a Long Beach resident, I am aware that improvements will need to be made in our city that are not funded. It isn't fair to expect the tax payers of Long Beach to fund this project. We have been heavily impacted for the past 8 years as various projects have forced traffic through our city and impacted our commute.

Please work with the city of Long Beach to come to a satisfactory compromise.

Sara Wescott Long Beach Homeowner

PC-W5

From:

Leslie Widdup [Leslie_Widdup@qscaudio.com] Thursday, August 01, 2013 10:03 AM

Sent: To:

Parsons, 405.Supplemental.Draft.EIR.EIS

Subject: Re: I-405 Supplemental Documents

I drive the through the construction zone every day. The progress is visible and impressive. Kudos's to all the hard working men and women who have been working so hard.



Leslie Widdup
Accounts Payable Assistant
ap@qscaudio.com

Toll Free: 800.854.4079 Direct: 714.327.4613 Fax: 714.754.6170

QSC Audio Products, LLC 1675 MacArthur Blvd. Costa Mesa, CA 92626











page 1 mass consact the environment before prising this e-mail. This electivist increasing termination contains information from QSC Audio Products, LLC, which may be confidented or privileged. This information is interested for the use of the influidated or right years above. If you are not the interested or copient, be aware that any declarate, output, distribution or use of the contrast of elections declarated only distribution or use of the contrast of elections and contrast of the co

6

cont.

PC-W6

From: HL Williamson [aquadyn1@roadrunner.com]
Sent: Monday, July 08, 2013 2:37 PM

To: Parsons, 405.Supplemental.Draft.EIR.EIS
Subject: COMMENTS REGARDING 405/ET AL CHANGES AFFECTING RESIDENTS

To Whom It May Concern:

We submitted our comments once before, but perhaps to no avail. I sometimes wonder why we vote for example, when what the majority want or do not want is often ignored or overturned.

HEALTH ISSU

We live in College Park East. We have lived here for 33 years. We live on a Cul-de-sac. There have been no less than cases of Cancer resulting in 6 deaths on our street alone. We would like to see a health report (something that Erin Brockovich might do)that tells us just how many of these cases (and the many more on every other street the area) ar related to freeway soot, auto emission, and constant work on the freeway. Our homes are filled with dirty air, our patios and backyards and constantly dirty, our vegetation, i.e., trees shrubs, fruit and vegetables that are grown for or consumption are very dirty. The air we breathe is terribly polluted already.

TRAFFIC ON ALMOND ALONG FREEWAY WALL.

There is considerable traffic on Almond, more now during the work that is currently being done on Lampson, Seal Bea Blvd., and Valley View. Widening the freeway which will take more space out of the one lane each way traffic on Almond will impact safety in general; safety for those riding bikes; safety for those walking their dogs, and safety for those who must park on Almond on street sweeping days, days when there are parties and nowhere to park on our or streets. The impact on residents is considerable and will be more so if you insist on widening the freeway and narrow Almond. Dogs are not allowed to run in the parks, and since the only place to take them legally is on a walk on a least to the dog park, which has its own hazards; where do you expect residents to walk their dogs without being hit by a passing car once Almond is narrower?

IMPACT ON HOME SALES

The value of our homes will decrease due to the impact of your plan and you will see an increase in homes for sale, bu WE will see a decrease in our ability to get a fair market price for our homes that are impacted by narrowing Almond Street.

WIDENING THE FREEWAY

It is my understanding that Los Angeles County will not agree to or participate in this plan; therefore the widening effc will start and stop and cause a greater traffic tie up due to lanes merging at the county line. The problem isn't that this are not enough lanes, it is that there are just too many cars and that is only going to get worse over time, not better. It then what will you do then, take away Almond entirely and displace people from their homes? Why? Why can't you leave decent law abiding citizens who love their community alone?

OTHER OPTIONS

I was under the impression there were other options that would not impact residents of College Park East as drasticall as what is proposed. What happened to those options?

Why can't you expand using the land on the other side of the freeway which is owned by the Navy Base, but not being used. It is also my understanding you propose taking only ten feet of space from Almond. How much negative impact can there be to ten feet of the Navy Base property that is not being used?

TOLL LANES

Why do you want to charge the people who use this freeway to get to and from their residences, to local businesses, nearby beaches, and airports? That is tantamount to adding an extra tax to the residents that you already overtax and

PC-W6 (Continued)

impact with all that is currently being done around here. Many older residents of Leisure World use this freeway and they are on a fixed income. I am against a toll lane altogether.

WHAT IS THE BENEFIT?

I read the paper. I see your press releases, yet I have not seen what benefit this is to the residents. As a taxpayer and long-time resident, I join the thousands of other College Park East residents who are discouraged and upset about the plans that are being considered. If this plan passes, I believe the residents will file a lawsuit to stop it, or halt it for years.

Respectfully Nancy Williamson Resident College Park East

PC-W7

From: vanwittbek@mac.com

Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2013 10:04 AM
To: Parsons, 405.Supplemental.Draft.EIR.EIS
Subject: Re: I-405 Supplemental Documents

Good morning. My suggestion is regarding the Willow Street/Woodruff Avenue intersection.

The left turn lane onto Willow from southbound Woodruff should be lengthened. That lane is constantly cut off by the many cars lined up to go straight through the intersection. I see there is not a lot of room there, but it looks like a couple car lengths might be gained which would make a big difference.

Thanks!

Allen Witbeck 5602 E. Mezzanine Way, Long Beach 562-754-6736

PC-W8

From: Woody [Woody@socal.rr.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 08, 2013 10:29 PM
To: Parsons, 405.Supplemental.Draft.EIR.EIS
Subject: Re: I-405 Supplemental Documents

Don't it always seem go!

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2ON7mozb2aY

PC-W9

From: Neal Woolston [nealwoolston@email.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2013 9:50 AM
To: Parsons, 405. Supplemental. Draft.EIR.EIS
Subject: Re: I-405 Supplemental Documents

We attended the meeting at Hill Middle School and a meeting two days earlier called by the Rossmoor H A. We did not speak at the meetings but we STRONGLY support alternate 1. We thought this matter was settled last October when OCTA Board recommended Alternate 1. At that time, the overwhelming preference of the commutities involved was for Alternate 1. We're very disappointed at the current situation.

Neal and Nita Woolston 2742 Oak Knoll Drive Los Alamitos

RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENTS (PC)-W

Response to Comment Letter PC-W1

Comment PC-W1-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comments on new information and analysis presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS were considered during identification of the Preferred Alternative as described in the Final EIR/EIS. You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

Bridge and ramp closures north of Bolsa Chica Road are not anticipated during construction of the I-405 Improvement Project.

Response to Comment Letter PC-W2

Comment PC-W2-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comment is not specific to the new information and analysis presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS; however, your comments were addressed in Appendix R1 (Response to Comments on Draft EIR/EIS). You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

Please see Common Responses – Almond Avenue Soundwall, Air Quality, Health Risks.

Comment PC-W2-2

Please see Common Responses – Traffic Flow at the Orange County/Los Angeles County Line, Air Quality, Health Risks, Noise/Noise Analysis.

Comment PC-W2-3

Please see Common Responses – Property Values, Preferred Alternative Identification.

Response to Comment Letter PC-W3

Comment PC-W3-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comment is not specific to the new information and analysis presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS; however, your comments were addressed in Appendix R1 (Response to Comments on Draft EIR/EIS). You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

Please see Common Response – Almond Avenue Soundwall.

Response to Comment Letter PC-W4

Comment PC-W4-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comments on new information and analysis presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS were considered during identification of the Preferred Alternative as described in the Final EIR/EIS. You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

A fair share of the costs for the improvements proposed in the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS under Measure T-10 would address cumulative significant impacts to traffic on SR-22 (7th Street), which is a State highway. This fair share would be contributed by the I-405 Improvement Project for the proposed improvements. The remainder of the funding would be the responsibility of the State, not the City of Long Beach.

A comparison of the volumes at intersections along 7th Street under the existing condition (Figure 3-5 of the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS) with the forecast volumes for the 2040 No Build Alternative (Figure 3-18 of the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS) shows that traffic is anticipated to increase along 7th Street without the proposed I-405 Improvement Project. Consequently, there is an adverse effect on 7th Street traffic without the project. The additional increment of traffic on 7th Street associated with the proposed project results in cumulative effects of traffic from the project and from other sources that are greater than those solely from the project alone. Consequently, the measures included in the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS are not intended to address all of the deterioration in traffic service expected between the existing condition and year 2040.

A fair share of the costs for the improvements proposed in the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS under Measure T-11 would address cumulative significant impacts to traffic on streets owned by the City of Long Beach. The remainder of the funding at these locations would be the responsibility of the City of Long Beach.

Response to Comment Letter PC-W5

Comment PC-W5-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

Response to Comment Letter PC-W6

Comment PC-W6-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comment is not specific to the new information and analysis presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS; however, your comments were addressed in Appendix R1 (Response to Comments on Draft EIR/EIS). You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

Please see Common Responses – Air Quality, Health Risks.

Comment PC-W6-2

Please see Common Response – Almond Avenue Soundwall.

Comment PC-W6-3

Please see Common Response – Property Values.

Comment PC-W6-4

Please see Common Responses – Coordination between Caltrans Districts 7 and 12, OCTA, Los Angeles Metro, Gateway City Council of Governments, and the City of Long Beach, Traffic Flow at the Orange County/Los Angeles County Line.

Comment PC-W6-5

Please see Common Responses – Preferred Alternative Identification, Shifting Improvements away from Residential Properties onto NAVWPNSTA Seal Beach Property.

Comment PC-W6-6

Please see Common Responses – Opposition to Tolling.

Response to Comment Letter PC-W7

Comment PC-W7-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comments on new information and analysis presented within the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS were considered during identification of the Preferred Alternative as described in the Final EIR/EIS. You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

The Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS covers potential adverse effects and significant impacts of the proposed I-405 Improvement Project and measures to address those adverse effects and

significant impacts. Existing problems are not the focus of the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS. Measure T-10 included in the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS proposes, under Alternative 2, to add a second left-turn lane to the northbound approach of Woodruff Avenue to Willow Street. This proposed improvement would address adverse effects of Alternative 2 to traffic at the Woodruff/Willow intersection. Adverse effects are not anticipated under Alternatives 1 or 3.

Response to Comment Letter PC-W8

Comment PC-W8-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.

The YouTube video referenced in the comment has not been included or addressed. In the "General Information about This Document" behind the cover page, the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS states: "If you have any comments regarding the information contained in this Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS, please attend the public hearing and/or send your written comments to Caltrans by August 12, 2013." A YouTube video is neither a written comment, nor can it be reproduced for inclusion in the Final EIR/EIS.

Response to Comment Letter PC-W9

Comment PC-W9-1

Caltrans and OCTA thank you for participating in the environmental process for the I-405 Improvement Project. Your comment was considered during identification of the Preferred Alternative as described in the Final EIR/EIS. You will be notified at the address provided in your comment when the Final EIR/EIS is available for review.